Inner Produc Spaces

MA-GY 7043: Linear Algebra II

Inner Product Spaces Cauchy-Schwarz and Triangle Inequalities Orthogonal Sets and Bases

Deane Yang

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University

February 13, 2025

Outline I

Inner Product Spaces

Inner Product Spaces

< □ > < ⑦ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > 2/33

Dot Product on \mathbb{R}^n

Recall that the **dot product** of

Inner Product Spaces

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}^n \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}^n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is defined to be

$$v \cdot w = v^1 w^1 + \dots + v^n w^n = v^T w = w^T v$$

• The **norm** or **magnitude** of $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined to be

$$|v| = \|v\| = \sqrt{v \cdot v}$$

• If v and w are nonzero and the angle at 0 from v to w is θ , then

$$\cos\theta = \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}}{|\mathbf{v}||\mathbf{w}|}$$

Properties of Dot Product

Inner Product Spaces ▶ The dot product is **bilinear** because for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(au + bv) \cdot w = a(u \cdot w) + b(v \cdot w)$$
$$u \cdot (av + bw) = a(u \cdot v) + b(u \cdot w)$$

▶ It is symmetric, because for any $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

 $v \cdot w = w \cdot v$

• It is **positive definite**, because for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$v \cdot v \geq 0$$

and

$$v \cdot v > 0 \iff v \neq 0$$

<ロト < 部ト < 言ト < 言ト 言 の Q () 4/33

Inner Product on Real Vector Space

Let V be an n-dimensional real vector space
 Consider a function

$$\alpha: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$$

▶ It is **bilinear** if for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\alpha(au + bv, w) = a\alpha(u, w) + b\alpha(v, w)$$

$$\alpha(u, av + bw) = a\alpha(u, v) + b\alpha(u, w)$$

• It is symmetric if for any
$$v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
,

$$\alpha(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = \alpha(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v})$$

• It is **positive definite** if for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\alpha(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v}) \geq \mathbf{0}$$

and

$$\alpha(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v}) > \mathbf{0} \iff \mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$$

5/33

Any positive definite symmetric bilinear function on a real vector space V is called an inner product

Inner Product Spaces

Hermitian Inner Product on \mathbb{C}^n

Recall that if
$$z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$$
, then
 $\overline{z} = x - iy$ and $z\overline{z} = \overline{z}z = x^2 + y^2$

Inner Product Spaces

► If A is a complex matrix, its **Hermitian adjoint** is defined to be $A^* = \bar{A}^T$

• The Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n of

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} v^1 \\ \vdots \\ v^n \end{bmatrix}, \ w = \begin{bmatrix} w^1 \\ \vdots \\ w^n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

is defined to be

$$(v,w) = v^1 \overline{w}^1 + \cdots + v^n \overline{w}^n = v^T \overline{w} = \overline{w}^T v = w^* v \in \mathbb{C},$$

• The **norm** of $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is defined to be

$$|v| = \|v\| = \sqrt{(v,v)}$$

No geometric interpretation of the Hermitian inner product

Not a Real Inner Product

Inner Product Spaces

Not bilinear, because if
$$c \in \mathbb{C}$$
,

$$(v, cw) = \overline{c}(v, w)$$

Not symmetric, because

and

$$(w,v) = \overline{(v,w)}$$

▶ It is positive definite, because for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $(v, v) \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(v, v) = v^1 \bar{v}^1 + \cdots + v^n \bar{v}^n = |v^1|^2 + \cdots + |v^n|^2 \ge 0,$$

$$(v,v) \neq 0 \iff v \neq 0$$

<ロト < 部 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト こ の < で 7/33

Properties of Hermitian Inner Product on \mathbb{C}^n

Inner Product Spaces

It is a linear function of the first argument, because for any a, b ∈ C, u, v, w ∈ Cⁿ,

$$(au + bv, w) = a(u, w) + b(v, w)$$

It is Hermitian, which means

$$(v,w) = \overline{(w,v)}$$

▶ Therefore, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u, v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$(u, av + bw) = \overline{a}(u, v) + \overline{b}(a, w)$$

8 / 33

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 二日

Inner Product of a Vector Space Over ${\mathbb F}$

 \blacktriangleright Assume \mathbb{F} is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}

Inner Product Spaces

• An inner product over a vector space V is a function

 $(\cdot, \cdot): V imes V o \mathbb{F}$

with the following properties: For any $a, b \in F$ and $u, v, w \in V$,

$$(au + bv, w) = a(u, w) + b(v, w)$$
$$(w, v) = \overline{(v, w)}$$
$$(v, v) \ge 0$$
$$(v, v) \ne 0 \iff v \ne 0$$

If 𝔽 = 𝖳, this is the same definition as before

▶ If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, this is the definition of a Hermitian inner product

Examples

▶ For each $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$, denote $v^* = \bar{v}^T$

• The standard inner product on \mathbb{F}^n is

$$(v,w)=w^*v,$$

which is the dot product on \mathbb{R}^n and the standard Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n

An inner product on the space of polynomials of degree n or less and with coefficients in 𝔽 is

$$(f,g) = \int_{t=0}^{t=1} f(t)\overline{g(t)} dt$$

An inner product on the space of matrices with n rows and m columns is

$$(A,B) = \operatorname{trace}(B^*A) = \sum_{1 \le k \le m} \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \overline{B}_k^j A_k^j,$$

where $B^* = \overline{B}^T$

Inner Product Spaces

Nondegeneracy Property

Fact: If a vector $v \in V$ satisfies the following property:

$$\forall w \in V, \ (v, w) = 0,$$

Inner Product Spaces

then v = 0Proof: Setting w = v, it follows that (v, v) = 0 and therefore v = 0Corollary: If $v_1, v_2 \in V$ satisfy the property that $\forall w \in V, (v_1, w) = (v_2, w),$ then $v_1 = v_2$ • Corollary: If $L_1, L_2: V \to W$ are linear maps such that $\forall v \in V, w \in W, (L_1(v), w) = (L_2(v), w),$ then $L_1 = L_2$ Proof: Given $v \in V$. $\forall w \in W, (L_1(v), w) = (L_2(v), w),$ which implies $L_1(v) = L_2(v)$ Since this holds for all $v \in V$, it follows that $L_1 = L_2^{\circ}$

11/33

Fundamental Inequalities

► Cauchy-Schwarz inequality: For any $v, w \in V$, $|(v, w)| \le |v||w|$

and

Inner Product

Spaces

$$|(v,w)| = |v||w|$$

if and only if there exists $s \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

v = sw or w = sv

► Triangle inequality: For any $v, w \in V$, $|v + w| \le |v| + |w|$

and

$$|v+w| = |v| + |w|$$

if and only if $v = \pm w$

12/33

Proof When $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$

Inner Product Spaces

$$f(t) = |v - tw|^{2}$$

= $(v - tw, v - tw)$
= $|v|^{2} - 2t(v, w) + t^{2}|w|^{2}$
= $\left(t|w| - \frac{(v, w)}{|w|}\right)^{2} + |v|^{2} - \frac{(v, w)^{2}}{|w|^{2}}$

• f has a unique minimum when $t = t_{min}$, where

$$t_{\min} = rac{(v,w)}{|w|}$$
 and $f(t_{\min}) = |v|^2 - rac{(v,w)^2}{|w|^2}$

<ロト < 合 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト 言 の < で 13/33

Proof of Cauchy-Schwarz (Part 1)

If v = 0 or w = 0, equality holds
If w ≠ 0, let f : F → R be the function

Inner Product Spaces

$$f(t) = |v - tw|^2$$

= (v - tw, v - tw)
= |v|^2 - t(w, v) - \bar{t}(v, w) + |t|^2 |w|^2

▶ If f has a minimum at $t_0 \in \mathbb{F}$, then its directional derivative at t_0 is zero in any direction \dot{t}

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{s=0} f(t_0 + s\dot{t})$$

= $-\dot{t}(w, v) - \dot{t}(v, w) + (t_0 \dot{t} + \bar{t}_0 \dot{t}) |w|^2$
= $\dot{t}(\bar{t}_0 - (w, v)) + \dot{t}(t_0 |w|^2 - (v, w))$
= $\dot{t}(\bar{t}_0 - (v, w)) + \dot{t}(t_0 |w|^2 - (v, w))$

Proof of Cauchy-Schwarz (Part 2)

Inner Product Spaces $i = t_0 |w|^2 - (v, w),$ we get $|t_0|w|^2 - (v, w)|^2 = 0,$ Therefore, the only critical point of f is $t_0 = \frac{(v, w)}{|w|^2}$

Since f is always nonnegative, it follows that

$$0 \le f(t_0) = |v|^2 - \frac{|(v, w)|^2}{|w|^2}$$

which implies the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

Proof of Cauchy-Schwarz (Part 3)

Inner Product Spaces

• If
$$w \neq 0$$
 and $|(v, w)| = |v||w|$, then

$$0 = |v|^2 - \frac{|(v, w)|^2}{|w|^2} = f(t_0) = |v - t_0 w|^2,$$

which implies that

 $v = t_0 w$

Proof of Triangle Inequality

The triangle inequality follows easily from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

Inner Product Spaces

$$|v + w|^{2} = (v + w, v + w)$$

= $|v|^{2} + (v, w) + (w, v) + |w|^{2}$
 $\leq |v|^{2} + |(v, w)| + |(w, v)| + |w|^{2}$
 $\leq |v|^{2} + 2|v||w| + |w|^{2}$
= $(|v| + |w|)^{2}$

• If |v + w| = |v| + |w|, then

$$|(v, w)| = |(v, w)| = |v||w|,$$

which implies v = tw and therefore

$$|t+1|^2|w|^2 = |tw+w|^2 = |tw|^2 + |w|^2 = (|t|^2+1)|w|^2,$$

which implies that $t = \overline{t}$, i.e., $t \in \mathbb{R}$

Polarization Identities

Inner Product Spaces



$$(v, w) = \frac{1}{4}(|v + w|^2 - |v - w|^2)$$

▶ On \mathbb{C}^n

$$(v,w) = \frac{1}{4}(|v+w|^2 + i|v+iw|^2 - |v-w|^2 - i|v-iw|^2)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Norm Defined by Inner Product

Inner Product Spaces

The norm of
$$v \in V$$
, $|v| = \sqrt{(v,v)}$

satisfies the following properties for any $s \in \mathbb{F}$, $v, w \in V$

$$\begin{split} |sv| &= |s||v| & (\text{Homogeneity}) \\ |v| &\geq 0 & (\text{Nonnegativity}) \\ |v| &= 0 \iff v = 0 & (\text{Nondegeneracy}) \\ |v+w| &\leq |v|+|w| & (\text{Triangle inequality}) \end{split}$$

Homogeneity and the triangle inequality imply convexity: For any 0 ≤ t ≤ 1 and v, w ∈ V,

$$|(1-t)\nu+tw|\leq (1-t)|\nu|+t|w|$$

< □ ト < □ ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 の Q (~ 19/33

Norm

Inner Product Spaces

A norm on a vector space V over \mathbb{F} is a function $g: V \to \mathbb{R}$, that satisfies for any $s \in \mathbb{F}$ and $v, w \in V$, |sv| = |s||v| (Homogeneity) $|v| \ge 0$ (Nonnegativity) $|v| = 0 \iff v = 0$ (Nondegeneracy) $|v + w| \le |v| + |w|$ (Triangle inequality)

Examples of Norms

• Given $1 \le p < \infty$, the ℓ_p norm of $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ is defined to be

Inner Product Spaces

$$|v|_{p} = (|v^{1}|^{p} + \dots + |v^{n}|^{p})^{1/p}$$

• The ℓ_{∞} norm of $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ is defined to be

$$|v|_{\infty} = \max(|v^1|,\ldots,|v^n|) = \lim_{p \to \infty} |v|_p$$

The L_p norm of a continuous function f : [0,1] → C is defined to be

$$||f||_{p} = \left(\int_{x=0}^{x=1} |f(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p}$$

▶ The L_∞ norm of a continuous function $f : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined to be

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|f(x)| : 0 \le x \le 1\} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \|f\|_p$$

Parallelogram Identity

Inner Product Spaces

> A norm | · | on a vector space V satisfies the parallelogram identity

$$|v+w|^2 + |v-w|^2 = 2(|v|^2 + |w|^2), \ \forall v, w \in V$$

if and only if there is an inner product on V such that

$$|v|^2 = (v, v)$$

Orthogonality For Standard Dot Product on \mathbb{R}^n

Inner Product Spaces

The following are synonyms: orthogonal, perpendicular, normal
 On Rⁿ,

Two vectors v₁, v₂ are called orthogonal if

$$v_1 \cdot v_2 = 0$$

A basis (v_1, \ldots, v_n) is called **orthonormal** if for any $1 \le i, j \le n$,

$$\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

<ロ > < (回 > < (回 > < (回 > < (回 >) < (O >) <

Orthogonality on an Inner Product Space

Inner Product Spaces

- ► Let V be an n-dimensional vector space over F with inner product (·, ·)
- Two vectors v_1, v_2 are **orthogonal** if

 $(v_1,v_2)=0$

- ► Vectors $v_1, ..., v_k$ are **mutually orthogonal** if for every $1 \le i < j \le k$, $(v_i, v_j) \ne 0$
- Mutually orthogonal vectors must all be nonzero
- A set of muturally orthogonal vectors is called an orthogonal set

Linear Independence of Orthogonal Set

An orthogonal set is linearly independent, because if

$$a^1v_1+\cdots+a^kv_k=0,$$

Inner Product Spaces

then for any
$$1 \le j \le k$$
,
 $0 = (v_j, a^1v_1 + \dots + a^kv_k) = a^j(v_j, v_j)$
Since $v_j \ne 0$, $(v_j, v_j) \ne 0$ and therefore $a^j = 0$
If
 $v = a^1v_1 + \dots + a^kv_k$,

then for each $1 \leq j \leq k$,

$$a^j = \frac{(v, v_j)}{|v_j|}$$

and

$$v=rac{(v,v_1)}{|v_1|}+\cdots+rac{(v,v_k)}{|v_k|}$$

25/33

Orthonormal Set and Basis

• $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \subset V$ is called an **orthonormal** set if for any $1 \leq i, j \leq k$,

Inner Product Spaces

$$(v_i, v_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

• If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, such a set is also called a **unitary** set

- An orthonormal set of *n* elements is called an orthonormal or unitary basis
- Any orthogonal set {v₁,..., v_k} can be turned into an orthonormal set,

$$\{\frac{v_1}{|v_1},\ldots,\frac{v_k}{|v_k|}\}$$

 An orthormal or unitary basis is an orthonormal set with n elements,

$$E=(e_1,\ldots,e_n)\subset V$$

• If
$$v = a^1 e_1 + \cdots + a^n e_n$$
, then

$$a_j = (v, e_j)$$

I.e.,

$$v = (v, e_1)e_1 + \dots + (v, e_n)e_n \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$$

Example: Finite Fourier Decomposition (Part 1)

Inner Product Spaces For each $-N \le k \le N$, consider

$$egin{aligned} \mathsf{v}_k &: [0, 2\pi] o \mathbb{C} \ heta &\mapsto e^{ik heta} \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$V = \{a^{-N}v_N + \dots + a^0 + \dots + a^Nv_N : (a^1, \dots, a^N) \in \mathbb{C}^{2N+1}\}.$$

▶ V is a (2N + 1)-dimensional complex vector space

Consider the inner product

$$(f_1, f_2) = \int_{ heta=0}^{ heta=2\pi} f_1(heta) ar{f_2}(heta) d heta$$

<ロト < 部 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト 言 の < や 27/33

Finite Fourier Decomposition (Part 2)

lf
$$j \neq k$$
, then

Inner Product Spaces

$$egin{aligned} &(v_j,v_k)=\int_{ heta=0}^{ heta=2\pi}e^{i(j-k) heta}\,d heta\ &=\left.rac{e^{i(j-k) heta}}{i(j-k)}
ight|_{ heta=0}^{ heta=2\pi}\ &=0\ &(v_k,v_k)=\int_{ heta=0}^{ heta=2\pi}1\,d heta\ &=2\pi \end{aligned}$$

$$u_k = \frac{v_k}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \ -N \le k \le N,$$

is an orthonormal basis

<ロ > < (回 > < (回 > < (回 > < (回 >) < (O >) <

Finite Fourier Decomposition (Part 3)

Inner Product Spaces

• Given any
$$f: C^0([0,2\pi])$$
, let $f_N(heta) = a^{-N}u_{-N} + \cdots + a^Nu_N,$

where

$$a^k = (f, u_k) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{ heta=0}^{ heta_2\pi} f(heta) e^{-ik heta} \, d heta$$

When is f_N is a good approximation to f?
 When is

$$f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} a^k u_k?$$

<ロ > < (回 > < (回) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) < (0) <

Orthogonal Complement

Let V be a real vector space with inner product (·, ·)
 Given a subspace E ⊂ V, define its orthogonal complement to be the subspace

 $E^{\perp} = \{ v \in V : \forall e \in E, (v, e) = 0 \}$

• $E \cap E^{\perp} = \{0\}$, because if

$$v \in E \cap E^{\perp}$$
,

then

$$|v|^2=(v,v)=0,$$
 If $v_1,v_2\in E$, $w_1,w_2\in E^{\perp}$, and

$$v_1 + w_1 = v_2 + v_2,$$

then

$$v_1-v_2=w_2-w_1\in E\cap E^\perp$$

and therefore, $v_1=v_2$ and $w_1=w_2$

▶ If follows that $E \oplus E^{\perp}$ is a subspace of V

Inner Product Spaces

Orthogonal Decomposition

Inner Product Spaces For each v ∈ E ⊕ E, there exist unique v₁ ∈ E and v₂ ∈ E[⊥] such that

 $v = v_1 + v_2$

Define the orthogonal projection maps

$$P_E: E \oplus E^\perp \to E$$
$$v \mapsto v_1$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c} P_E^{\perp}: E \oplus E^{\perp} \to E^{\perp} \\ v \mapsto v_2 \end{array}$$

Orthogonal Projection Maps

P_E, *P_E[⊥]* are linear maps
 P_E : *E* ⊕ *E[⊥]* → *E* is projection onto *E*:

$$\forall v \in E, P_E(v) = v$$

►
$$P_E^{\perp} : E \oplus E^{\perp} \to E^{\perp}$$
 is projection onto E^{\perp} :
 $\forall v \in E^{\perp}, \ P_E^{\perp}(v) = v$

• Orthogonal decomposition: For any $v \in E \oplus E^{\perp}$,

Inner Product Spaces

Orthogonal Projection Minimizes Distance to a Subspace

$$|v - P_E(v)| \le |v - w|$$

and equality holds if and only if $w = P_E(v)$

Proof: Let $v = v_1 + v_2$, where

$$v_1=P_E(v)\in E$$
 and $v_2=v-P_E(v)\in E^{\perp}$

• Then for any $w \in E$,

$$|v - w|^{2} = |v - P_{E}(v) + P_{E}(v) - w|^{2}$$

= (v_{2} + (v_{1} - w), v_{2} + (v_{1} - w))
= (v_{2}, v_{2}) + 2(v_{1} - w, v_{2}) + (v_{1} - w, v_{1} - w)
$$\geq |v - P_{E}(v)|^{2}$$

and equality holds if and only if

$$|v_1 - w, v_1 - w|^2 = (v_1 - w, v_1 - w) = 0$$

Inner Product Spaces